

REMARKS

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action of March 3, 2004, in which the Examiner objected to claims 26 and 27. The claims have been amended in order to overcome the technical objection. The claim recites a plant as opposed to a motor.


The Examiner rejected certain claims under the Doctrine of Obviousness Type Double Patenting over U.S. Patent Application No. 10/603,802. Applicants have filed a Terminal Disclaimer.

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that the Application is now in condition for allowance, and such a determination is earnestly solicited.

If any matters remained unresolved, it is requested that the Examiner contact the Undersigned by telephone, so that such issues may be addressed.

Respectfully submitted,

DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC



John P. DeLuca
Registration No. 25,505
Attorney for Applicant

DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC
THIRD FLOOR WEST
1300 I Street, N. W.
Washington, D.C. 20005